arXiv:hep-ph/9303211v1 3 Mar 1993

RUB-TPII-23/92

Baryons as solitons in Effective Chiral Quark - Meson Theory*

CHR.V.CHRISTOV‡), A.BLOTZ, TH. MEISSNER, FR. GRÜMMER
M. PRASZAŁOWICZ†) AND K.GOEKE
Institut für Theoretische Physik II, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, D-4630 Bochum
A. GORSKI AND W. BRONIOWSKI
Institute of Nuclear Physics, 31-342 Krakow, Poland
D. DYAKONOV, V. PETROV AND P. POBYLITZA
St.Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina, St.Petersburg, Russia

ABSTRACT

The Nambu - Jona-Lasinio model in its SU(2) and SU(3) versions with scalar and pseudoscalar coupling are applied to baryons. The parameters of the model are fixed in the meson sector. The baryons arise as a soliton of three valence quarks coupled to the Dirac sea (quark-antiquarks pairs). Within the SU(2) version the nucleon static properties as well as some form factors, namely, the electric and axial ones are described quite successfully. The nucleon-delta splitting comes out reasonable. In medium the nucleonic soliton gets less stable – the mass is reduced whereas the radius increases. At some critical medium density there is a clear delocalization of the soliton: The nucleon does not exsist anymore as a soliton. In SU(3) version the strangness carrying baryons are described as SU(3)-rotational excitations of the SU(2)-soliton embedded in the SU(3)-sector. The mass splittings between the octet and decuplet as well as within the multiplets are peproduced not only in a correct oder but also in a good agreement with the experimantal values.

---

*Talk presented at the German-Polish Symposium on Particle and Fields, 28.04 - 1.05, 1992, Rydzyna Castle, Poland

‡)Permanent address: Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Sofia 1784, Bulgaria

†)Alexander von Humboldt Stiftung Fellow, on leave of absence from Institute of Physics, Jagellonian University, 30-059 Krakow, Poland

1. Introduction

In the last years because of the tremenduos difficulties in the nonperturbative (strong coupling) regime of QCD there is an increasing interest in the effective chiral models respecting the chiral symmetry breaking. Among these models the Nambu – Jona-Lasinio model applied to quarks is the only one which allows to combine in a natural way the two extreme opposite pictures - the pure valence one advocated by the constituent quark model and that used in the Skyrme model. The model makes use of the experimentally supported "standard" picture of the nucleon as a bound state of three valence quarks coupled to the mesons arising as quark-antiquarks pairs from the Dirac sea. Similar to the other effective models the NJL model posseses two shortcomings. On the one hand in the model the quark confinement is not implemented and one can only hope that the confinement is not of great importanse for the very low-energy baryon properties. On the other hand the model is not renormalizable. It means that a finite cut-off is needed to make the theory finite. The cut-off introduces into the theory a physical scale which should be fixed by reproducing some physical quantity.

2. NJL model with SU(2)-flavour

We start with the simplest lagrangean of the NJL model with scalar and pseudoscalar quark-quark couplings[1]:

$$\mathcal{L} = \overline{\Psi} i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \Psi - m_0 \overline{\Psi}\Psi + \frac{G}{2}[(\overline{\Psi}\Psi)^2 + (\overline{\Psi}\gamma_5 \vec{\tau}\Psi)^2]. \tag{2.1}$$

Here Ψ describes a quark field with $SU(2)$-flavour (u and d) quarks, $N_c = 3$ colours and the average current quark mass $m_0 = (m_u + m_d)/2$. Introducing auxiliary sigma and pion fields by $\sigma = -g\overline{\Psi}\Psi/\lambda^2$ and $\vec{\pi} = -g\overline{\Psi} i\gamma_5 \vec{\tau}\Psi/\lambda^2$ and assuming them to be classical (zero boson and one fermi loop approximation), one can use path integral techniques in euclidean metric to evaluate the corresponding effective action as a sum of a quark part

$$S^q_{eff} = Sp \log\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + h - \mu\right) \qquad \text{with} \qquad h = \frac{\vec{\alpha}.\vec{\nabla}}{i} + \beta g(\sigma + i\gamma_5 \vec{\pi}.\vec{\tau}) \tag{2.2}$$

with μ being the chemical potential, and a meson one

$$S^m_{eff} = \int d^4x \left\{ \frac{\lambda^2}{2}(\sigma^2 + \vec{\pi}^2) + m_\pi^2 f_\pi \sigma \right\}. \tag{2.3}$$

In the meson part using the PCAC the current mass m_0 is eliminated in favor of the pion mass m_π and the pion decay constant f_π. The coupling constant G is related the new one λ by $G = g^2/\lambda^2$ where the additional coupling constant g is introduced for convinience. The latter will be fixed later to the physical coupling constant in order to identify the auxiliary pion and sigma fields with the physical ones in the meson sector. Only one part of the effective action, $S^q_{eff}(\mu = 0)$, coming from the Dirac sea is divergent. Using the proper time scheme[2] we make it finite. Subtracting the vacuum contribution one can express the energy (see for more details refs.[3,4]) as a sum of a quark part

$$E^q = \sum_{0\le\epsilon_\alpha\le\mu} \epsilon_\alpha + \frac{N_c}{2}\left\{\sum_\alpha R_{3/2}(\epsilon_\alpha,\Lambda) - \sum_\alpha R_{3/2}(\epsilon^0_\alpha,\Lambda)\right\} \tag{2.4}$$

with the proper-time regularization function

$$R_\alpha(\epsilon,\Lambda) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \int\limits_{1/\Lambda^2}^{\infty} \frac{d\tau}{\tau^\alpha} \exp(-\epsilon^2\tau), \tag{2.5}$$

and the meson part which up to a trivial factor is given by eq.(2.3). The energies ϵ_α are the eigenvalues of the hamiltonian (2.2) and ϵ^0_α correspond to the vacuum solution.

We fix the parmeters of the model, namely the coupling constant λ^2 and the cut-off Λ, in the meson sector of the vacuum by reproducing the experimental values of the pion decay constant f_π and the pion mass m_π. This procedure originates from Eguchi[5] and is described in detail in ref.[3]. We use the stationary meson field configuration $<\vec{\pi}>_v = \vec{\pi}_v = 0$ and $<\sigma>_v \neq 0$ where the the latter is given by the non-trivial solution of the well-known gap equation. It generates the constituent quark mass $M = g <\sigma>_v = gf_\pi$. Actually we use the gap equation to express the coupling constant λ^2 as a function of the constituent mass M. For the meson dynamics one can use the meson propagators[6,7] and to fix the g to the physical pion coupling constant in order to identify the auxiliary σ and $\vec{\pi}$ with the physical ones. The next step is to reproduce the physical value of the pion decay constant. The latter leads to a relation between the M and Λ. However, in the present case one can also use the gradient expansion which means that the coupling constant is fixed to yield the correct form of the meson kinetic energy term and the pion mass is related to the second derivative of the energy at the stationary point. It should be also noticed that in this limit ($q^2 = 0$) the pion decay constant is reproduced trivially for the physical pion fields. All those conditions together leave the vacuum value of the constituent mass M as the only free parameter. In principle, the empirical values[8] of the quark condensate and the quark bare mass can be used to fix M but actually they still leave a broad range for M.

For the solitonic sector we solve the Dirac equation (eq.(2.3)) with the equations of motion of the meson fields:

$$\sigma = \frac{g}{\lambda^2} N_c \left\{ \sum_\alpha \overline{\phi}_\alpha \phi_\alpha R_{1/2}(\epsilon_\alpha, \Lambda) - \sum_{0 \le \epsilon_\alpha \le \mu} \overline{\phi}_\alpha \phi_\alpha \right\} - \frac{f_\pi m_\pi^2}{\lambda^2}. \tag{2.6}$$

$$\pi = \frac{g}{\lambda^2} N_c \left\{ \sum_\alpha \overline{\phi}_\alpha \imath \gamma_5 (\vec{\tau}.\hat{r}) \phi_\alpha R_{1/2}(\epsilon_\alpha, \Lambda) - \sum_{0 \le \epsilon_\alpha \le \mu} \overline{\phi}_\alpha \imath \gamma_5 (\vec{\tau}.\hat{r}) \phi_\alpha \right\}. \tag{2.7}$$

The meson fields are assumed to be in a hedgehog form and are restricted on the chiral circle $\sigma^2 + \vec{\pi}^2 = f_\pi^2$. We use a numerical self-consistent iterative procedure[3] based on a method proposed by Ripka and Kahana[9].

As a next step the classical soliton should be quantized. To that end one can make use of the rotational symmetry of the solution introducing isorotating hedgehog meson fields

$$\widetilde{U}(x) \to R(t) U(x) R^\dagger(t), \tag{2.8}$$

where $R(t)$ is a unitary SU(2) matrix. Following the usual canonical quantization procedure[10] one can relate the angular velocities to the spin (isospin) operators by

$$\theta \Omega^i (\Omega^a) \to -i I^i (T^a). \tag{2.9}$$

Thus one can assign proper spin and isospin quantum numbers to the soliton and evaluate the nucleon properties like energies, radii, form factors, spin and isospin contents, etc.

For the energy an expansion up to the first non-vanishing order with respect to angular velocity gives the well-known rotational energy correction to the classical energy from which one should subtract the corresponding translational and rotational spurious zero-point energies[11]

$$E^I = E_{sol} + \frac{I(I+1)}{2\theta} - \frac{< T^2 >}{2\theta} - \frac{< P^2 >}{2\theta}. \tag{2.10}$$

Here θ is the moment of inertia given as a sum of valence and sea part[12]. The latter is reguralized in the proper time scheme. The results of the energy of the nucleon and the delta are shown in Fig. 1 and some values of the moment of inertia for different constituent masses are presented in Tab. 1. As can be seen the experimental nucleon-delta mass splitting is reproduced well for M around 420 - 450 MeV. The sea contribution is less than 20 %.

The nucleon electric form factors are given by

$$G_E(q^2) = \langle N(p)\,|j_o^{em}(0)|\,N(p')\rangle, \tag{2.11}$$

where $|\,N(p)\rangle$ is the quantized nucleon state and j_o^{em} is zero-component of the electromagnetic current operator defined as

$$j_\mu^{em} = \frac{\delta}{A_\mu} S_{eff}^f[A_\mu, \Omega]. \tag{2.12}$$

To that end an external electromagnetic field A_μ is coupled. Similar to the moment of inertia the form factors incude also a valence and sea contribution. It should be noted that the isoscalar form factor does not need any regularization which is not the case of the isovector one. The proton and neutron electric form factors calculated[13] in the non-relativistic approximation $q^2 \ll M_N^2$ are shown in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3, respectively. The corresponding neutron charge distribution presented in Fig. 5 has the typical negative tail coming from the pion field (sea contribution). The proton form factor is in good agreement with the experiment whereas the neutron one is overestimated by a factor two. Both form factors show practically no dependence on the constituent mass M. For the proton the sea contribution is less than 5% which is not the case of the neutron one where the valence quarks and the sea contribute with similar magnitude and opposite signs. Concerning the neutron electric form factor one could expect that both the boson loop corrections as well as the incorporation of vector mesons[14] may modify it. As can be expected the isoscalar squared radius is reproduced well whereas the isovector one is by a factor of two too large (see Tab. 1). The Tab. 1 lists the Coulomb part of the proton-neutron mass splitting as well.

In non-relativistic limit the axial form factor defined as usual by

$$\langle N_2(p)\,|A_i^a(0)|\,N_1(p')\rangle = g_A(q^2)\left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{q_i q_j}{|\,q\,|^2}\right)\langle \chi_2\,|\sigma_i \tau^a|\,\chi_1\rangle \tag{2.13}$$

is also calculated[15] using the quantized nucleon state $\mid N_1(p')\rangle$. As can be seen from Fig. 5 the results are in good agreement with the experimantal dipole fit at finite momentum transfer Q^2. The value at the origin (about 0.8), which is exactly the axial vector coupling constant, is lower than the experimental value 1.2. However, it is a common problem of the effective chiral models. Kirchbach and Riska[16] relate it to the problem of a proper renormalization of the axial charge.

Table 1 The nucleon squared radii computed for the constituent quark masses. Total, valence and sea contributions are given separately to see the influence of the vacuum polarization effects. Listed are also the $N - \Delta$ mass splitting as well as the Coulomb part of the neutron-proton mass splitting. In the last column the experimental values are given for comparison.

Quantity	**Constituent Quark Mass**						**Experiment**
	320 MeV		420 MeV		465 MeV		
	total	sea	total	sea	total	sea	
$< r^2 >_{T=0}$ $[fm^2]$	0.66	0.07	0.61	0.16	0.53	0.15	0.62
$< r^2 >_{T=1}$ $[fm^2]$	1.36	0.71	1.15	0.74	1.05	0.73	0.86
$< r^2 >_n$ $[fm^2]$	–0.35	–0.32	–0.27	–0.29	–0.26	–0.29	–0.12
$< r^2 >_p$ $[fm^2]$	1.01	0.39	0.88	0.45	0.79	0.44	0.74
$M_\Delta - M_N$ $[MeV]$	203	—	261	—	301	—	294
Δ_{EM} $[MeV]$	–0.87	–0.18	–1.00	–0.73	–1.09	–0.33	–0.76 ±0.30

The sigma commutator calculated in the model comes out quite reasonable - about 35 MeV.

We also studied possible modifications of the nucleon properties in medium (finite density). The medium is simulated simply by varying the chemical potential μ in order to fix the medium density. The mass of the nucleonic soliton is depicted in Fig. 6 as function of the density. With increasing density the mass gets reduced as at some critical value the soliton disappears - a sign of a delocalization of the nucleon. The mean radius shown in Fig. 6 supports this picture. At finite density the soliton gets extended (swelling) and less bound and close to some critical density it is getting delocalized.

3. NJL model with SU(3)-flavours

The SU(3)-invariant NJl model withscalar and pseudoscalar coupling reads

$$\mathcal{L}_{NJL} = \bar{q}(x)(i\partial\!\!\!/ - m)q(x) - \frac{G}{2}\left[(\bar{q}(x)\lambda^a q(x))^2 + (\bar{q}(x)i\gamma_5\lambda^a q(x))^2\right] \tag{3.1}$$

where $m = \mathrm{diag}(m_u, m_d, m_s) = m_1\mathbf{1} + m_3\lambda_8$ is the quark mass matrix and λ_a are the usual Gell-Mann matrices with $\lambda^0 = \sqrt{(\frac{2}{3})}\mathbf{1}$. Actually we use the current quark masses $m_0 = \frac{1}{2}(m_u + m_d)$. Integrating out the quarks the effective action is given by

$$S_{eff} = -\mathrm{Sp}\ \log\left(i\partial\!\!\!/ - m - g(\sigma^a\lambda^a + i\gamma_5\pi^a\lambda^a)\right) + \frac{\mu^2}{2}(\sigma^a\sigma^a + \pi^a\pi^a) \tag{3.2}$$

Hereafter we work in the chiral limit and treat the strange quarkmass m_s perturbatively in first order. Similar to the SU(2) case we fix the parameters in the meson sector of the vacuum by reproducing the experimental values of the meson masses $m_\pi = 139MeV$ and $m_K = 496MeV$, as well as the pion decay constant $f_\pi = 93MeV$. This results in the relation $m_K^2/m_\pi^2 = (m_s + m_0)/2m_0$ with $m_0 = \frac{1}{2}(m_u + m_d)$. In order to fulfill it one needs a current mass m_0 of about 6 MeV. To this end we use a proper time regularization with a modified weight function. The latter leads to a higher value of the Σ-commutator $\Sigma = 51$ MeV.

For the SU(3) soliton we use the $SU(2)$-one U_0 trivially embedded in SU(3)

$$U_2 = \begin{pmatrix} U_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.3}$$

In order to quantize the classical soliton we follow the same scheme (cranking approxaimation) as in the SU(2) case (see eq.(2.8)) but with a SU(3) isorotating meson fields. As a next step we expand the effective action in Ω_A up to second order

$$L^{rot} = \frac{1}{2}I_{AB}\Omega_A\Omega_B - \frac{N_c}{2\sqrt{3}}\Omega_8, \tag{3.4}$$

where I_{AB} is the $SU(3)$ tensor of the moment of inertia, as well as up to the first order in m_s

$$L^{(1)} = -\frac{2}{3}\frac{m_s}{m_u + m_d}\Sigma\left(1 - D_{88}^{(8)}(R)\right) \tag{3.5}$$

and $m_s \Omega_A$

$$L^{(2)} = -\frac{2m_s}{\sqrt{3}} K_{AB} D^{(8)}_{8A}(R) \Omega_B. \tag{3.6}$$

All other corrections are neglected. Here $D^{(8)}_{8A}(R)$ is the SU(3)-Wigner function and by K_{AB} we denote the tensor of the anomalous moments of inertia. These moments need no regularization, because they originate from the imaginary part of the effective Euclidean action and so they are finite. It should be stressed that the appearance of the linear term in Ω_8 in (3.4) is due to the discrete valence level in the spectrum whereas in the Skyrme model a term like this can be obtained only by adding the Wess-Zumino term.

Now we quantize canonically by defining $SU(3)$ right generators $R_{A=1,\dots,8}$ by $R_A = -\partial L / \partial \Omega_A$, where $L = L^{rot} + L^{(1)} + L^{(2)}$. Using the collective hamiltonian, obtained in approximation $\mathcal{O}(m_s^2)$, in a strict perturbation theory to first order in m_s we calculated the masses of the member of the octet and decouplet. We fixed m_{Σ^*} to the experimental value, because as in the $SU(2)$-case the total masses are always too large by a constant shift (zero-point energies are not subtracted). It should be stressed that we obtained the Gell-Mann Okubo relations

$$2(m_N + m_\Xi) = 3m_\Lambda + m_\Sigma \qquad \text{and} \qquad m_\Omega - m_{\Xi^*} = m_{\Xi^*} - m_{\Sigma^*} = m_{\Sigma^*} - m_\Delta$$

as well as the Guadignini formula[17]

$$m_{\Xi^*} - m_{\Sigma^*} + m_N = (1/8)(11m_\Lambda - 3m_\Sigma)$$

without any additional assumptions. The latter is derived in the Skyrme model only by adding a term associated to the hypercharge simply by hand. Our results are illustrated in Fig. 7 where the discrepancy between the theory and experiment for the masses of the members of the octet and decouplet is presented as a function of the strangeness quark mass.

4. Summary

The SU(2)-flavour Nambu – Jona-Lasinio model with scalar and pseudoscalar couplings and valence quarks combined with the semi-classical quantization (cranking approximation) provides quite a reasonable description of nucleon properties like masses, charge radii as well as electric and axial form factors. In the SU(3)-version the mass splittings between the octet and decuplet as well as within the multiplets are peproduced not only in a correct oder but also in a good agreement with the experimantal values. Thus, the assumed physical picture of the baryon as a soliton of three valence quarks coupled to the Dirac sea is supported. The results do not leave much room for additional meson degrees of freedom, in particular for vector mesons. Since the NJL model respects the chiral symmetry breaking but not confinement one can conclude that i) the chiral symmetry breaking mechanism is dominant for the nucleon structure and ii) the confinement seems to be not of great importance at least for the very low-energy properties considered. In particular, the (partial) restoration of the chiral symmetry occuring in medium modifies of the nucleon structure: the nucleon gets less bound and swelled and at some critical density it undergoes delocalization.

The work has been supported by the Bundesministerium für Forschung und Technologie, Bonn, by the KFA Jülich (COSY – Project), the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and by Polish Research Grant 2-0091-91-01.

REFERENCES

1. Y.Nambu and G.Jona-Lasinio, *Phys. Rev.* **122** (1961) 354
2. J.Schwinger, *Phys. Rev.* **82** (1951) 664
3. T.Meissner, F.Grümmer and K.Goeke, *Ann. Phys.* **202** (1990) 297; T.Meissner and K.Goeke, *Nucl. Phys.* **A 524** (1991) 719
4. Chr.V.Christov, E.Ruiz Arriola and K.Goeke, *Nucl. Phys.* **A 510** (1990) 1990; *Phys. Lett.* **225 B** (1989) 22; *Phys. Lett.* **243 B** (1990) 333
5. T.Eguchi, *Phys. Rev.* **D 14** (1976) 2755; D.Ebert and M.K.Volkov, *Z. Phys.* **C 16** (1983) 205; D.Ebert and H.Reinhardt, *Nucl. Phys.* **A 271** (1986) 188
6. M.Jaminon, G.Ripka and P.Stassart, *Nucl. Phys.* **A 504** (1989) 733
7. J. Schaldach, E. Thommes, Chr.V.Christov and K.Goeke, DFG Spring Meeting Salzburg 1992, February 24-28,1992, p.115
8. M.A.Shifman, A.J.Vainstein and V.I.Zakharov, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 147** (1979) 385; *Nucl. Phys.* **B 163** (1980) 43
9. S.Kahana and G.Ripka, *Nucl. Phys.* **A 429** (1984) 462
10. G.S.Adkins, C.R.Nappi and E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 228** (1983) 552
11. P. Pobiltza, E.Ruiz Arriola, F.Grümmer, Th.Meißner, K.Goeke and W. Broniowski, *J. Phys.* **G** (1992) (in print)
12. K.Goeke, A.Górski, F.Grümmer, Th.Meißner, H. Reinhardt and R. Wü nsch, *Phys. Lett.* **256 B** (1991) 321
13. A.Górski, F.Grümmer and K.Goeke, *Phys. Lett.* **278 B** (1992) 24
14. P.Alberto, E.Ruiz Arriola, J.Urbano and K.Goeke, *Phys. Lett.* **247 B** (1990) 210
15. Th.Meissner and K.Goeke, *Z. Phys.* **A 339** (1991) 513

16. M. Kirchbach and D.O.Riska, *Nuov. Cim.* **A 104** (1991) 1837

17. A. Guadagnini, *Nucl. Phys.* **A 236** (1984) 35

FIGURE CAPTIONS

1. The energy of the nucleon (thick full line), delta (thin full line) as well as the non-quantized hedgehog soliton energy(dashed line) as function of the constituent quark mass.

2. The proton electric form factor as a function of the squared momentum transfer . The solid lines describe the form factor and the sea contribution (thin line) for the constituent quark mass $360\, MeV$. The dashed lines display the same information for the constituent quark mass $420\, MeV$.

3. The neutron electric form factor as a function of the squared momentum transfer Q^2. The solid lines describe the total form factor and the sea contribution (thin line) for the constituent quark mass $360\, MeV$. The dashed lines display the same information for the constituent quark mass $420\, MeV$.

4. The neutron charge distribution as a function of the radius. The solid bold line denotes the total charge, the dashed line denotes the valence contribution and the thin line stands for the sea contribution only.

5. Soliton mass as a function of the baryon density for two different values of the constituent quark mass is compared with the mass of the soliton (dash-dotted line) of the medium modified σ-model. The separated contribuions coming from the valence quarks as well as from the polarization of the Fermi and Dirac sea for the constituent mass $M = 420$ MeV are also presented.

6. Soliton mean square radius as a function of the baryon density for two different values of the constituent quark mass.

7. The deviation of the theoretical masses from the experimental values as a function of the strangness quark mass for constituent quark mass M=420 MeV.